MEMORANDUM

NATIONAL SECURITY COUNCIL

MEMORANDUM				1195	•
,	NATIONAL S	ECURITY COUN	CIL	, 7	137
-CONFIDENTIAL		February	19, 1980		X
ACTION	/				
MEMORANDUM FOR:	ZBIGNIEW BRZEZI	NSKI	_		~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~
FROM:	THOMAS THORNTON	15	Sec F	305 Pa	stache
SUBJECT:	Chile and Argen	tina (U)			
(attached). I battle the UNITACLUSION and Davidecide the issue	no for the VBB la understand that i AS issue out on t Id Newsom wants u e. I continue to e as appropriate)	t was decided their own. They so take a posterounced the formand the formation of the forma	nat State an have not co ition and, p following (p	d DOD shome to a cresumably lease ch	con- y, eck
1. Agree to UN	ITAS for Argentin	a.	Yes	No	
2. Disapprove (C)	UNITAS for Chile.		Yes V	No	 },
a DOD cartograp down. This is Since DOD has t	n issue between S mer's slot should a matter of massi aken a longer cut	be abolished as ve inconsequence in percentage	s part of the from any part of the from any part of the from the f	e Letelion oint of the Emba	er crack- view. assy
a DOD cartograp down. This is Since DOD has t elements (and w	ner's slot should a matter of massi	be abolished as ve inconsequence in percentage t ing UNITAS for (s part of the from any part of the from any part of the from the f	e Leteli oint of ther Emba gest tha	er crack- view. assy
a DOD cartograp down. This is Since DOD has t elements (and w back DOD on thi (C)	ner's slot should a matter of massi aken a longer cut e would be scrubb	be abolished as ve inconsequence in percentage a ing UNITAS for a ?	s part of the from any perms than o chile) I sug Yes	e Letelicoint of ther Embragest than No	er crack- view. assy t we
a DOD cartograp down. This is Since DOD has t elements (and w back DOD on thi (C) There is also t think this is p 1. Allen shoul	ner's slot should a matter of massi aken a longer cut a would be scrubb s matter. Concur he question of viarticularly conted be allowed to v	be abolished as ve inconsequence in percentage of ing UNITAS for of ? sits left over on tious. Do you isit Argentina?	s part of the from any perms than ochile) I sugar Yes	e Letelicoint of ther Embragest than No	er crack- view. assy t we
a DOD cartograp down. This is Since DOD has t elements (and w back DOD on thi (C) There is also t think this is p 1. Allen shoul	ner's slot should a matter of massi aken a longer cut e would be scrubb s matter. Concur he question of vi articularly conte	be abolished as ve inconsequence in percentage of ing UNITAS for of ? sits left over on tious. Do you isit Argentina?	s part of the from any perms than ochile) I sugar Yes	e Letelicoint of ther Embragest than No	er crack- view. assy t we h I don't
a DOD cartograp down. This is Since DOD has t elements (and w back DOD on thi (C) There is also t think this is p 1. Allen shoul 2. The Galtier (C) I still think t resolution. If	ner's slot should a matter of massi aken a longer cut a would be scrubb s matter. Concur he question of viarticularly conted be allowed to v	be abolished as ve inconsequence in percentage ting UNITAS for the second secon	s part of the from any perms than of thile) I sugar Yes From the VBB concur that Yes Yes Ge 2 of atta	e Letelioint of ther Embigest that No although No No Ched memorplease 1	er crack- view. assy t we h I don't o) needs et me
a DOD cartograp down. This is Since DOD has telements (and w back DOD on thi (C) There is also think this is p 1. Allen shoul 2. The Galtier (C) I still think tresolution. If know your preference.	ner's slot should a matter of massi aken a longer cut would be scrubb matter. Concur he question of viarticularly conted be allowed to viarticularly conted be allowed to viarticularly content of the larger issue (you do not want	be abolished as ve inconsequence in percentage in gunitas for it. sits left over intious. Do you isit Argentina? Id be delayed? discussed on pay to burden the Vie:	s part of the from any perms than of thile) I sugar Yes From the VBB concur that Yes Yes Ge 2 of atta	e Letelioint of ther Embigest that No although No No Ched memorplease 1	er crack- view. assy t we h I don't o) needs et me
a DOD cartograp down. This is Since DOD has telements (and w back DOD on thi (C) There is also think this is possible. The Galtier (C) I still think tresolution. If know your preference of the continue to take the con	ner's slot should a matter of massi aken a longer cut would be scrubb smatter. Concur the question of viarticularly conted be allowed to viarticularly conted to allowed to viarticularly contend to the larger issue (you do not want rences. Should woonsider the Letil the follow-up actional contends to the	be abolished as ve inconsequence in percentage in gunital for it. sits left over intious. Do you isit Argentina? Id be delayed? discussed on particular the Vie: ier phase over? ms influenced by	res part of the from any perms than of chile) I sugar Yes from the VBB concur that Yes Yes ge 2 of atta BB with it,	e Letelioint of ther Embigest that No although No No Ched memorplease 1	er crack- view. assy t we h I don't o) needs et me
a DOD cartograp down. This is Since DOD has telements (and w back DOD on thi (C) There is also t think this is p 1. Allen shoul 2. The Galtier (C) I still think t resolution. If know your prefers Substantially c Continue to tak	ner's slot should a matter of massi aken a longer cut would be scrubb matter. Concur he question of viarticularly conted be allowed to viarticularly conted be allowed to viarticularly conted be allowed to viarticularly contend be larger issue (you do not want rences. Should wonsider the Letil e follow-up actio: February 13 V-	be abolished as ve inconsequence in percentage in guntas for in guntas for in guntas left over intious. Do you isit Argentina? Id be delayed? discussed on pay to burden the Viet ier phase over? ms influenced by B-B Memorandum	rom the VBB concur that Yes ge 2 of atta BB with it,	e Letelioint of ther Embigest that No although No No Ched memorplease 1	er crack- view. assy t we h I don't o) needs et me
a DOD cartograph down. This is Since DOD has to elements (and we back DOD on this (C) There is also to think this is pure think think this is pure think think this is pure think think the tresolution. If know your preference that the think think think the tresolution. If know your preference think	ner's slot should a matter of massi aken a longer cut would be scrubb matter. Concur he question of viarticularly conted be allowed to viarticularly consider the Letil be follow-up actions: February 13 V-trastor	be abolished as ve inconsequence in percentage in guntas for in guntas for in guntas left over intious. Do you isit Argentina? Id be delayed? discussed on pay to burden the Vie: ier phase over? ier phase over? B-B Memorandum Department of S	s part of the from any perms than ochile) I sugar Yes From the VBB concur that Yes Yes Ge 2 of atta BB with it, y it?	e Letelioint of ther Emb. ther Emb. gest tha No although: No No ched memplease 1	er crack- view. assy t we h I don't o) needs et me
a DOD cartograph down. This is since DOD has telements (and we back DOD on thi (C) There is also think this is pure think think this is pure think think this is pure the think think this is pure the think th	ner's slot should a matter of massi aken a longer cut would be scrubb matter. Concur he question of viarticularly conted be allowed to viarticularly contended by the larger issue (you do not want rences. Should woonsider the Letil be follow-up actions to February 13 V-trastor	be abolished as ve inconsequence in percentage in guntas for in guntas for in guntas left over intious. Do you isit Argentina? Id be delayed? discussed on pay to burden the Viet ier phase over? ms influenced by B-B Memorandum	ge 2 of atta B with it, y it? State, A/GIS/IP	e Letelioint of ther Embines that the sest that No although the No No Ched memplease 1 (C	er crack- view. assy t we h I don't

MEMORANDUM

NATIONAL SECURITY COUNCIL

#6A

February 13, 1980

CONFIDENTIAL ACTION

MEMORANDUM FOR: ZBIGNIEW BRZEZINSKI

FROM:

THOMAS THORNT

SUBJECT:

V-B-B Lunch -- Latin American Issues

DOD may bring up two Southern Cone issues:

- 1. Should the Argentinian Army Chief be invited to the US and our Chief of Air Staff go to Argentina?
- 2. Should we invite Chile and Argentina to participate in the annual UNITAS naval exercises? (C)

On the first point, I am in no tearing rush to issue the invitation -- the idea for which apparently came out of the Goodpaster mission. Even assuming that we want to invite Galtieri, I would want to hold off until we are sure that he does not appear as a human rights villan in the upcoming Interamerican Human Rights report. The visit of GEN Allen to Argentina (a tag-on to an already scheduled visit to Brazil) seems unobjectionable. I think that both of these visits would, however, be too much. Recommendation: O.K. for Allen; hold off on Galtieri for a while.

On the UNITAS maneuvers, I don't think that Argentina poses a problem. I understand that they have routinely participated in the past -- it makes no sense to cut them out now after (a) there has been some human rights improvement and (b) Goodpaster has been there. Derian wants to punish them for being uncooperative with us at the UNHRC meeting at Geneva.. This is not the instrument that I would use if, indeed, we want to show our displeasure. (C)

The Chile/UNITAS question is less clearcut. DOD wants to go ahead. The State position will be that we should not let the Chileans participate this year as one more punishment for the Letelier affair. I find that reasonable although it does raise a larger problem (see below). Recommendation: Yes on Argentina, No on Chile, keyed specifically to the Letelier case. would not argue, however, if you decided otherwise on Chile. (C)

Review on Feb. 13, 1986

Department of State, A/GIS/IPS/SRP (1) Release () Excise () Deny (\ Declassify Exemptions b () () E.Q 13526 25x ()()() Declassify after With concurrence of:

The Larger Issue

The President authorized a number of steps in the Letilier case and these have been taken (or are about to be taken). The question arises as to whether we want to continue punishment of the Chileans on this issue. Do we want this to be a time-limited action or is it supposed to remain a semi-permanent factor in US-Chilean relations? The UNITAS issue is one example and there will be some others coming up shortly. My preference is to put the issue behind us — the UNITAS decision would be our last one under its influence — and judge future issues in US-Chilean relations on the basis of their merits and overall Chilean behavior. It is possible, however, that you, Vance and even the President may want to come down harder and more lastingly on the Chileans. If so, the bureaucracy needs guidance. (C)

RECOMMENDATIONS:

- A. That you raise with Vance (and later, if necessary, the President) the question of whether we want to keep the pressure on the Chileans over the Letilier case or consider actions under it to be completed.
- B. That you take the latter position in the discussion.
- C. That you let me know the outcome. (C)

CONFIDENTIAL

MEMORANDUM

NATIONAL SECURITY COUNCIL

CONFIDENTIAL

February 20, 1980

INFORMATION

ZB HAS SEEN

MEMORANDUM FOR:

ZBIGNIEW BRZEZINSKI

FROM:

ROBERT PASTOR

SUBJECT:

Comments on Thornton's Memo on Chile (U)

Let me briefly comment on an important issue Tom raises in his memo:

"Should we consider the Letelier phase over?" The President made his decision to adopt a cool posture to Chile in late October; and in late November, the decisions were announced in a strong statement condemning Chilean government complicity in a heinous crime of international terrorism. would pose Tom's question differently: How much staying power does the USG have? I think it would be a terrible embarrassment to the President if we proceeded with "business as usual," such as suggested by the UNITAS exercise, four months after he announces a strong and firm policy. (C)

With Mark Schneider running Kennedy's campaign, you can be absolutely certain that a decision to put the "Letelier phase" behind us and proceed with UNITAS will be noticed. And Kennedy is hungry for issues. Moreover, there is no good reason for us to go ahead with UNITAS; we are hardly in danger of losing Chile to anyone but the militarists.

While I agree with Tom that the question of whether or not a DOD cartographer's slot should be abolished is an unimportant matter, Tom neglects to mention that one of the President's decisions was to reduce the size of our own mission. If all of us agree that this slot is unnecessary, then to be consistent with the President's decision it should be abolished. I see no reason why we should back DOD on this matter. (C)

Charge to_

cc: Tom Thornton

CONFIDENTIAL

Review 2/20/1990 Extended by Z. Brzezinski

Reason: NSC 1.13(f)

(VAelease() Excise () Deny () Declassify Exemptions b ()()() E.O. 13526 25x ()()() Declassify after With concurrence of: obtained

Department of State, A/GIS/IPS/SBF

					RECEIVED 19 FEB 80 1	8
то	BRZEZ INSKI		FROM THOR	VTON	DOCDATE 19 FEB 80	
KEYWORDS	: CHILE		ARGEN	frina		
SUBJECT:	VBB 1					
ACTION:	FOR DECISION	N	Ε	DUE: 21 FEB 80	STATUS FILES	
	FOR ACTION		FOR COMMENT		FOR INFO	
	PRZEZ INSKI				PASTOR	
COMMENTS				Charge to	e() Excise() Deny() Declas s b()() E.O. 13526 25x()() after rrence of:	sify ()
REF#		L	ns 800/15		IFID (T/	
CTION OF	FICER (S)	ASSIGNED YU	ACTION	recuired	DUE COPIES TO	-

Carter Lib

RAC 7

Box 32

Lunchen Neitigs (BBV), 1-4/80